arXiv:1402.2000v2 [math.PR] 22 Nov 2014

First passage time law for some Lévy processes with compound Poisson: existence of a conditional density with incomplete observation*

Waly NGOM†

November 25, 2014

Abstract

We study the default risk in incomplete information. That means, we model the value of a firm by one Lévy process which is the sum of Brownian motion with drift and compound Poisson process. This Lévy process can not be observed completely and we let another process which represents the available information on the firm. We obtain an equation satisfied by the conditional density of the default time given the available information.

Acknowledgemnts. I thank my Ph.D advisors Laure Coutin and Papa Ngom for their help and pointing out error.This work is supported by A.N.R. Masterie.

1 Introduction

In our work, we study a first passage time of a level $x > 0$ by a jump diffusion process X which respectively models default and assets of a firm. We investigate the behavior of the default time under incomplete observation of assets. Such a study is very important when failure of a large industrial company or some great political decision taken by the parliement can affect the dividend policy of the issuing firms. In the litterature, we find some papers in relation to this topic. Duffie and Lando in [8] suppose that bond investors cannot observe the issuer's assets directly and receive instead only periodic and imperfect reports. For a setting in which the assets of the firm are a geometric Brownian motion until informed equityholders optimally liquidate, they derive the conditional distribution of the assets, given accounting data and survivorship. Dorobantu [7] provides the intensity function of the default time. That is very important for investors, but the information brought by this intensity is low. Volpi et al. [20] prove that the Laplace transform of the

*I thank M. Pontier for her careful reading.

†IMT University of Toulouse, France, waly.ngom@math.univ-toulouse.fr -F.S.T University of Dakar, Sénégal, waly.ngom@ucad.edu.sn

random triple (first passage time, overshoot, undershoot) satisfies some kind of integral equation and after normalization of the first passage time, they show under some assumptions that the triple random converges in distribution as x goes to ∞. In [9], the authors study a model of a financial market in which the dividend rates of two risky assets change their initial values to other constant ones at the times at which certain unobservable external events occur. The asset price dynamics are described by geometric Brownian motion with random drift rates switching at exponential random times which are independent of each other and of the constantly correlated driving Brownian motion. They obtain closed expressions for rational values of European contingent claims through the filtering estimates of the occurence of switching times and their conditional probability density derived given the filtration generated by the underlying asset price process. Coutin and Dorobantu in [6] prove that the law of the default time has a density (defective when $\mathbb{E}(X_1) < 0$) with respect to the Lebesgue measure

for PAIS with compound Poisson process and not null Brownian motion

We extend this approach by using some filtering theory. The purpose of our paper is to add to these studies the behavior of the conditional law of a first passage time by a Lévy process with compound Poisson process given partial information. The paper is organized as follow: In Section 2, we recall the model and the filtering framework. In Section 3, we show the existence of the density and in Section 4, we show that the conditional density satisfies some kind of integro-differential equation.To finish, we give some technical proofs in the Appendix.

2 Models and filtering framework

In this section, we recall the model and the results of Coutin and Dorobantu [6] and we present the filtering framework of Pardoux [14] or Coutin [5].

2.1 Model

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space .
Let $\tilde{X}$ be a Brownian motion with drift $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $z > 0, \tilde{\tau}_z = \inf\{t \geq 0, \tilde{X}_t \geq z\}$. By (5.12) page 197 of [12], $\tilde{\tau}_z$ has the following law on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+$:

$$\tilde{f}(u,z)du + \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\tau}_z = \infty)\delta_\infty(du) \tag{1}$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(u,z) = \frac{\mid z \mid}{\sqrt{2\pi u^3}} \exp[-\frac{1}{2u}(z-mu)^2]\mathbf{1}_{]0,+\infty[}(u) \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\tau}_z = \infty) = 1 - e^{mz-|mz|}.$$

The function $\tilde{f}(.,z)$ is C^∞ on $]0,+\infty[$, and all its derivatives admit 0 as right limit at 0 and then belongs to $\mathcal{C}^\infty$. Let X be a Lévy process defined as following

$$X_t = mt + W_t + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{2}$$

Here $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a counting Poisson process with intensity λ and $(Y_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ a sequence of identically and independent (iid) random variables with distribution F_Y. All these objects are independent. The process X models the firm value.
The default is modeled by the hitting time of level $x > 0$. That means

$$\tau_x = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \geq x\}. \tag{3}$$

We recall from Coutin and Dorobantu [6] that τ_x has a density $f(., x)$ with respect to Lebesgue measure possibly defective which is defined by

$$f(t,x) = \begin{cases} \lambda\mathbb{E}(1_{\tau_x>t}(1-F_Y)(x-X_t)) + \mathbb{E}(1_{\tau_x>T_{N_t}}\tilde{f}(t-T_{N_t}, x-X_{T_{N_t}})) & \text{if } t>0 \\ \frac{\lambda}{2}(2-F_Y(x)-F_Y(x_-)) + \frac{\lambda}{4}(F_Y(x)-F_Y(x_-)) & \text{if } t=0. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $(T_i, i \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ is the sequence of the jump times of the process N.

2.2 Filtering framework

Instead of observing perfectly the process X, we observe a process Q defined by

$$Q_t = \int_0^t h(X_s)ds + B_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

where h is a Borel and bounded function, B a Brownian motion which is independent of W, N, and $(Y_i, i \geq 1)$. This is a filtering problem and we introduce the framework as in [5] and [1].
Let $(\Omega^Q, \mathcal{F}^Q, (\mathcal{F}_t^Q, t \geq 0), \mathbb{P}^Q)$ (respectively $(\Omega^W, \mathcal{F}^W, (\mathcal{F}_t^W, t \geq 0), \mathbb{P}^W)$) be a measured space on which Q (respectively W) is a $\mathbb{R}-$ valued Brownian motion.
Let $(\Omega^M, \mathcal{F}^M, (\mathcal{F}_t^M, t \geq 0), \mathbb{P}^M)$ be a measured space on which $(Y_i, i \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ is a sequence of i.i.d random variables with distribution function F_Y and M a Poisson random measure with intensity $\Pi(dt, A) = \lambda \int_A F_Y(dy)dt, \quad \lambda > 0$. We define

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Omega^\circ = \Omega^Q \times \Omega^W \times \Omega^M & \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^Q \otimes \mathcal{F}^W \otimes \mathcal{F}^M \\ \mathbb{P}^\circ = \mathbb{P}^Q \otimes \mathbb{P}^W \otimes \mathbb{P}^M & \mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^Q \otimes \mathcal{F}_t^W \otimes \mathcal{F}_t^M, t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \end{array}$$

All used filtrations are càd and complete. Under $\mathbb{P}^\circ$, we consider the pair processes (X, Q) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= mt + W_t + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i \\ Q_t &= \text{Brownian motion}, t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Remarks 1. *1. (X, Q) has stationary and independent increments under $\mathbb{P}^0$, it is then a $(\mathbb{P}^o, \mathcal{F})-$ Markov process.*

2. The process (W, Q) is a $\mathbb{R}^2-$ valued $(\mathbb{P}^\circ, \mathcal{F})-$ Brownian motion .

3. The compensated measure $\tilde{M}$ has $(\mathbb{P}^\circ, \mathcal{F})-$intensity $\Pi(dt, A) = \lambda \int_A F_Y(dy)dt$.

Since the function h is bounded the Novikov condition, $\forall t,\ \ \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t h^2(X_s)ds}\right) < \infty$, is satisfied and we define the following exponential martingale for the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$L_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t h(X_s)dQ_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t h^2(X_s)ds\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

For a fixed maturity $T > 0$, the process $(L_{t\wedge T}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ is a uniformly integrable $(\mathbb{P}^\circ, \mathcal{F})-$martingale.

Definition 1. *The probability $\mathbb{P}$, called observation probability, is defined as follow*

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}}{d\mathbb{P}^\circ}\ |_{\mathcal{F}_T} = L_T.$$

The probability measures $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{P}^\circ$ are equivalent. Then using Girsanov theorem, the process (W, B) is a $\mathbb{R}^2-$ valued $(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})-$ Brownian motion. The signal X and the observation Q are represented under $\mathbb{P}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= mt + W_t + \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i, \\ Q_t &= \int_0^t h(X_s)ds + B_t, t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, under $\mathbb{P}$, the process X has stationary and independent increments. It stay a Markov process . We also note that the law of X, so τ_x under $\mathbb{P}^0$ is the same as under $\mathbb{P}$.

3 Existence of the conditional density

We introduce the following filtrations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_t &= \sigma(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x \le u}, u \le t), \\ \mathcal{F}_t^Q &= \sigma(Q_u, u \le t), \\ \mathcal{G}_t &= \mathcal{F}_t^Q \vee \mathcal{D}_t, \ \ \forall t \ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

The filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t\ge 0}$ models information available on both firm and default occurence for investors at time t. It models all available information. These filtrations are supposed càd and complete.

Proposition 1. *For all $t > 0$, on the set $\{\tau_x > t\}$, the $\mathcal{G}_t$ conditional law of τ_x has the following form*

$$\bar{f}(r, t, x)dr + \mathbb{P}(\tau_x = \infty|\mathcal{G}_t)\delta_\infty(dr) \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(\tau_x = \infty|\mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t}\mathbb{E}(G(\infty, x - X_t)|\mathcal{G}_t), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\bar{f}(r, t, x) := \mathbb{E}[f(r - t, x - X_t)|\mathcal{G}_t].$$

Remarks 2. *We introduce the function G defined as $G(t,x) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_x > t) = \mathbb{P}^o(\tau_x > t)$. Refering to [20], for all $x > 0$, the passage time τ_x is finite almost surely if and only if $m + \mathbb{E}(Y_1) \geq 0$.*

The next lemma is an auxiliary result. It allows to bound up the density function of the hitting time and later to interchange the integral and the expectation in the term $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\int_t^b f(r-t, x-X_t)dr|\mathcal{G}_t\right]$.

Lemma 1. *There exists some constants $\tilde{C}$ and C such that $\forall t > 0, \quad x > 0$,*

$$\tilde{f}(t,x) \leq \tilde{C}(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}) \text{ and } f(t,x) \leq C(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}). \tag{6}$$

Proof. On one hand, we have $\forall t > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}(t,x) &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp[-\frac{(x-mt)^2}{2t}] \\
&= \frac{x-mt}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp[-\frac{(x-mt)^2}{2t}] + \frac{mt}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \exp[-\frac{(x-mt)^2}{2t}] \\
&\leq \left[\frac{|x-mt|}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} + \frac{|m|}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}\right] \exp[-\frac{(x-mt)^2}{2t}]
\end{aligned}$$

Let $C_0 = \sup_{u\in\mathbb{R}} ue^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}$ with $u = \frac{|x-mt|}{\sqrt{t}}$. It follows that

$$\tilde{f}(t,x) \leq \frac{C_0}{t\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{|m|}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, x \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The first part of result come from taking $\tilde{C} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(C_0 + |m|)$.
On another hand, according to [6], the function f defined in (4) satisfies

$$f(t,x) \leq \lambda + \mathbb{E}(1_{\tau_x>T_{N_t}} \tilde{f}(t - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}})), \forall t > 0,$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}(1_{\tau_x>T_{N_t}} \tilde{f}(t - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}})) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{X_{T_{N_t}}>0\}} \tilde{f}(t - T_{N_t}, X_{T_{N_t}})\right),$$

with $X_{T_{N_t}} = x - mT_{N_t} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i - \sqrt{T_{N_t}}B_1$.

and B_1 is a Gaussian random variable independent of N, $Y_i, i \in \mathbb{N}^*$. According to Lemma 3.1 of the appendix of [6], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t,x) &\leq \lambda + \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{X_{T_{N_t}}>0} \frac{|X_{T_{N_t}}|}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-T_{N_t})^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{(X_{T_{N_t}})^2}{2(t-T_{N_t})}\right]\right) \\
&\leq \lambda + \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{[X_{T_{N_t}}]_+}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-T_{N_t})^3}} \exp\left[-\frac{(X_{T_{N_t}})^2}{2(t-T_{N_t})}\right]\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5 in Appendix to $t = t - T_{N_t}, \sigma = \sqrt{T_{N_t}}$, $G = B$ and $\mu = x - mt - \sum Y_i$ it follows that

$$f(t,x) \leq \lambda + \frac{C_1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{C_2}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{C_3}{t}\mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T_{N_t}}{t - T_{N_t}}}\right).$$

We take $C = \max\{C_1, C_2, C_3 + \lambda\}$ and the proof is completed with Lemma 6 of the Appendix. □

Now, we prove proposition 1.

Proof. of proposition 1:

First note that, since X is a $(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})-$ Markovian process, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x=\infty}|\mathcal{G}_t) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x=\infty}|\mathcal{F}_t)|\mathcal{G}_t\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\mathbb{E}^t(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_{x-X_t}=\infty})|\mathcal{G}_t] \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\mathbb{E}(G(\infty, x - X_t)|\mathcal{G}_t), \text{ where } \mathbb{E}^t(.) = \mathbb{E}(.|\mathcal{F}_t).\end{aligned}$$

The fact that τ_x is a $(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})-$ stopping time justifies the last equality.

For all $b \geq t$ the $(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$ Markov property of the process X and the fact that on the set $\{\tau_x > t\} : \tau_x = t + \tau_{x-X_t} \circ \theta_t$ ensure

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a\leq\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{G}_t) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a\leq\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t)|\mathcal{G}_t\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\mathbb{E}^t(\mathbf{1}_{a-t\leq\tau_{x-X_t}<b-t})|\mathcal{G}_t\right).\end{aligned}$$

The $\mathcal{F}_t$- conditional law of τ_{x-X_t} has the density (possibly defective) $f(. - t, x - X_t)$, thus

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a\leq\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\int_a^b f(r-t, x-X_t)dr|\mathcal{G}_t\right].$$

By hypothesis, we have $r - t \geq a - t > 0$. It follows from lemma 1 that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\int_a^b f(r-t, x-X_t)dr\right] < \infty.$$

Then, we have forall $b \geq t$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\int_a^b f(r-t, x-X_t)dr|\mathcal{G}_t\right] = \int_a^b \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}f(r-t, x-X_t)|\mathcal{G}_t\right]dr \quad a.s.$$

Now, we show the equality $a.s$ forall $b \geq t$. Let M_1 and M_2 be the processes defined by

$$M_1 : b \longmapsto \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\int_a^b f(r-t, x-X_t)dr|\mathcal{G}_t\right] \text{ and } M_2 : b \longmapsto \int_a^b \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}f(r-t, x-X_t)|\mathcal{G}_t\right]dr.$$

These processes are increasing, then they are submartingales with respect to the filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_b = \mathcal{G}_t \ \ \forall b \geq t$. Note that $b \longmapsto \mathbb{E}(M_1(b))$ and $b \longmapsto \mathbb{E}(M_2(b))$ are too continuous. Using

Revuz-Yor Theorem 2.9 p. 61 [17], they have same càd-làg modification for all b, meaning that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\int_a^b f(r-t,x-X_t)dr|\mathcal{G}_t\right]=\int_a^b \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}f(r-t,x-X_t)|\mathcal{G}_t\right]dr \quad a.s.\forall b.$$

We conclude that, almost surely, for all $b>a>t$,

$$\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x\leq b}|\mathcal{G}_t)=\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\int_a^b \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}f(r-t,x-X_t)|\mathcal{G}_t\right]dr.$$

Taking $a=t+\frac{1}{n}$, letting n going to infinity and using monotone Lebesgue Theorem yield that, $\mathbb{P}-a.s \ \ \forall \ \ b$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{t<\tau_x\leq b}|\mathcal{G}_t)=\int_t^b \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}f(r-t,x-X_t)|\mathcal{G}_t\right]dr.$$

□

4 Mixed filtering-Integro-differential equation for conditional density

In this section, we give one of our main results. Indeed, we show that the conditional law of the hitting time τ_x given the filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ satisfies some stochastic integrodifferential equation.

Theorem 1. *Let $t>0$ be a real number. For any $r>t$, on the set $\{\tau_x>t\}$, the conditional density of τ_x given $\mathcal{G}_t$ satisfies the stochastic integrodifferential equation*

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(r,t,x)=&\frac{f(r,x)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau_x>t)}+\int_0^t \Pi^1(h)(t,r,u)dQ_u\\&-\int_0^t\frac{\bar{f}(r,u,x)}{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)}\Pi(t,u)(h)dQ_u\\&+\int_0^t\frac{\bar{f}(r,u,x)}{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)}[\Pi(t,u)(h)]^2du\\&-\int_0^t\Pi^1(h)(t,r,u)\Pi(h)(t,u)du.\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^1(t,r,u)(\Phi)&=\frac{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}\Phi(X_u)f(r-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)}{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)},\\\Pi(t,u)(\Phi)&=\frac{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}\Phi(X_u)G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)}{[\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)]^2}\end{aligned}$$

and G is defined in Remark2

The next lemma is inspired of Jeanblanc [11] and Dorobantu [7].

Lemma 2. *For all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, for all a and b such that $t < a < b$, for all $Y \in L^1(\mathcal{F}_b, \mathbb{P})$*

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} | \mathcal{F}_t^Q) > 0, \quad \mathbb{E}(Y \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_x} | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_b Y \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_x} | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} L_t | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)}. \tag{7}$$

For instance with $Y = \mathbf{1}_{a < \tau_x < b}$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a < \tau_x < b} | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_b \mathbf{1}_{a < \tau_x < b} | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} L_t | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)}.$$

Proof. Assume that there exists t_0 such that $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x > t_0) = 0$. Then for all $t \geq t_0$, $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq t_0) = 1$. It follows that the density function of τ_x f, defined in (4), is the zero function on $[t_0, +\infty[$. This means that $\forall t \in [t_0, \infty[$,

$$f(t,x) = \lambda \mathbb{E}(1_{\tau_x > t}(1 - F_Y)(x - X_t)) + \mathbb{E}(1_{\tau_x > T_{N_t}} \tilde{f}(t - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}})) = 0 \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Then, $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x \leq t) = 1$ implies that $\mathbb{E}(1_{\tau_x > t}(1 - F_Y)(x - X_t)) = 0$.
Thus $\mathbb{E}(1_{\tau_x > T_{N_t}} \tilde{f}(t - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}})) = 0$. But we have $t - T_{N_t} > 0 \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s$ and on the set $\{\tau_x > T_{N_t}\}, \quad x - X_{T_{N_t}} > 0$. Therefore, $\tilde{f}(t - T_{N_t}, x - X_{T_{N_t}}) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. Hence, we obtain $\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_{N_t}} = 0, \forall t \geq t_0$ what is not possible. Indeed,

$$\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_{N_t}} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T_n} \mathbf{1}_{N_t = n} = 0$$

That means for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{P}(T_n < t < T_{n+1}, \tau_x > T_n) = 0$. In particular, for $n = 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(T_1 > t, \tilde{\tau}_x > 0) = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\tau}_x > 0)\mathbb{P}(T_1 > t) = e^{\lambda t} \neq 0.$$

Thus for any t, $\mathbb{P}(\tau_x > t) > 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} | \mathcal{F}_t^Q) > 0$.

On the set $\{\tau_x > t\}$, any $\mathcal{G}_t-$ mesurable random variable coincides with some $\mathcal{F}_t^Q-$ measurable random variable (cf. Jeanblanc and Rutkovski [11] p. 18). Then for all $Y \in L^1(\mathcal{F}_b, \mathbb{P})$, there exists a $\mathcal{F}_t^Q-$ measurable random variable Z such that

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} Y | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} Z.$$

Taking the conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t^Q$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} Y | \mathcal{F}_t^Q) = Z \mathbb{E}(\tau_x > t | \mathcal{F}_t^Q).$$

This implies that

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} Y | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)}.$$

Using Kallianpur-Striebel formula (see Pardoux [14]) and $\mathbb{E}^0(L_b | \mathcal{F}_t^Q) = L_t$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(Y \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_b \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} Y | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} L_t | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)}.$$

□

The following is in [5].

Lemma 3. *The family*

$$\mathcal{S}_t = \left\{ S_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t \rho_s dQ_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \rho_s^2 ds \right), \rho \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

is total in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t^Q, \mathbb{P}^0)$.

Lemma 4. *Let* $\{U_t, t \geq 0\}$ *be an* $\mathcal{F}^W \otimes \mathcal{F}^M-$*progressively measurable process such that for all* $t \geq 0$, *we have*

$$\mathbb{E}^0\left[\int_0^t U_s^2 ds \right] < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}^0\left[\int_0^t U_s dQ_s | \mathcal{F}_t^W \otimes \mathcal{F}_t^M \right] = 0. \tag{8}$$

Proof. As in Lemma 3, the family

$$\mathcal{R}_t = \left\{ r_t = \mathcal{E}\left[\int_0^t \gamma_s dW_s + \int_0^t \int_A (e^{\beta_s(x)} - 1)\tilde{M}(dsdx) \right], \gamma \in L^2([0,T],\mathbb{R}]), \beta \in L^\infty([0,T]\times A, \mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

is total in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^W \otimes \mathcal{F}^M, \mathbb{P}^0)$, where $\tilde{M}$ is a compensated Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel set. Therefore, since $r_t = 1 + \int_0^t r_s\gamma_s dW_s + \int_0^t \int_A r_s(e^{\beta_s(x)} - 1)\tilde{N}(dsdx)$, by Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^0\left(r_t \mathbb{E}^0\left[\int_0^t U_s dQ_s | \mathcal{F}_t^W \otimes \mathcal{F}_t^M \right] \right) &= \mathbb{E}^0\left[r_t \int_0^t U_s dQ_s \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^0\left[\int_0^t r_s\gamma_s U_s d < W, Q >_s \right] \\
&+ \mathbb{E}^0\left[\int_0^t U_s \int_A r_s(e^{\beta_s(x)} - 1) d < \tilde{M}, Q >_s \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The equality is obtained from the fact that $< Q, W >=< Q, \tilde{M} >= 0$ by independence. □

Proposition 2. *For any bounded function* φ *such that* $\varphi(\tau_x)$ *is* $\mathcal{F}_T^X$*-measurable,*

$$\mathbb{E}^0(\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} L_T | \mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{E}^0[\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}] + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u} L_u h(X_u)\varphi(\tau_{x-X_u})|\mathcal{F}_u^Q] dQ_u. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Let $S_t \in \mathcal{S}_t$. Lemma 7 applied to $Y = \varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}$ which belongs to $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{P}^0, \mathcal{F}_T^X)$ implies

$$\mathbb{E}^0(\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t} L_T | \mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{E}^0[\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}] + \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0(\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}|\mathcal{F}_u) L_u S_u \rho_u h(X_u) du \right).$$

The $(\mathbb{P}^0, \mathcal{F})-$ Markov property of X permits us to write

$$\mathbb{E}^0(\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{E}^0[\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}] + \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t L_u S_u \rho_u h(X_u)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}\mathbb{E}^{0,u}(\varphi(\tau_{x-X_u})\mathbf{1}_{\tau_{x-X_u}>t-u})du\right)$$

where $\mathbb{E}^{0,u}(.) = \mathbb{E}^0(.|\mathcal{F}_u)$.

Conditioning by $\mathcal{F}_u^Q$ under the time integral, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}^0(\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{E}^0[\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}] + \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t S_u\rho_u\mathbb{E}^0(L_u h(X_u)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}\varphi(\tau_{x-X_u})|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)du\right).$$

Conversely compute the expectation of the product of $S_t = 1 + \int_0^t S_u\rho_u dQ_u$ by right part of (9):

$$\mathbb{E}^0\left[S_t(\mathbb{E}^0[\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}] + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0(L_u h(X_u)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}\varphi(\tau_{x-X_u})|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)dQu)\right] =$$
$$\mathbb{E}^0[\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}] + \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t S_u\rho_u\mathbb{E}^0(L_u h(X_u)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}\varphi(\tau_{x-X_u})|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)du\right)$$

Since $\mathcal{S}$ is dense in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^Q, \mathbb{P}^0)$,

$$\mathbb{E}^0(\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{E}^0[\varphi(\tau_x)\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}] + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u h(X_u)\varphi(\tau_{x-X_u})|\mathcal{F}_u^Q]dQ_u.$$

□

By this proposition, we etablish two corollaries which give a representation more accessible of the processes $t \longmapsto \mathbb{E}^0(L_b\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)$ and $t \longmapsto \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T}L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)$

Corollary 1. *For all $t < a < b$, we have $\mathbb{P}^0 - a.s$*

$$\mathbb{E}^0(L_b\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{P}^0(a < \tau_x < b) + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u h(X_u)[G(a-u, x-X_u) - G(b-u, x-X_u)]|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)dQ_u. \quad (10)$$

The next is too a particular case of proposition (2).

Corollary 2. *For $t < T$,*

$$\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T}L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{P}^0(\tau_x > T) + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u h(X_u)G(T-u, x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)dQ_u. \quad (11)$$

Proposition 3. *For any $0 < t < a < b$, we have on the set $\{\tau_x > t\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_t = &\frac{\mathbb{P}^0(a < \tau_x < b)}{\mathbb{P}^0(\tau_x > t)} + \int_0^t \sigma^1(\Phi)(t,u)dQ_u \\ &- \int_0^t \bar{\Gamma}_u \sigma(\Phi)(t,u))^2 du + \int_0^t \bar{\Gamma}_u \sigma(\Phi)(t,u) dQ_u \\ &- \int_0^t \sigma^1(\Phi)(t,u)\sigma(\Phi)(t,u)du.\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_t &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{G}_t), \\ \sigma^1(\Phi)(t,u) &= \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u} L_u \Phi(X_u)[G(a-u, x-X_u) - G(b-u, x-X_u)]|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t} L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}, \\ \sigma(\Phi)(t,u) &= \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u} L_u \Phi(X_u) G(t-u, x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t} L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first apply Itô's formula to $\dfrac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_b \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T} L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}$. Second, we take the limit when T goes to t. But Lemma 7 of Appendix ensures that

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_b \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T} L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_t \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T} L_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}.$$

Therefore, we let two processes satisfying the stochastic equations respectively (9) and (10):

$$X_t = \mathbb{E}^0(L_t \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t^Q), \quad Y_t = \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T} L_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Q) \text{ and } f(x,y) = \frac{x}{y}$$

The Itô's formula applied to $f(X_\cdot, Y_\cdot)$ from 0 to t gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_b \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T} L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)} = &\frac{\mathbb{P}^0(a < \tau_x < b)}{\mathbb{P}^0(\tau_x > T)} \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u} L_u h(X_u)[G(a-u, x-X_u) - G(b-u, x-X_u)]|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T} L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)} dQ_u \\ &- \int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_u \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u} L_u h(X_u) G(T-u, x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T} L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^2} dQ_u \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_u \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u} L_u h(X_u) G(T-u, x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^2}{[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T} L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^3} du \\ &- \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u} L_u h(X_u)[G(a-u, x-X_u) - G(b-u, x-X_u)]|\mathcal{F}_u^Q) \\ &\times \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u} L_u h(X_u) G(T-u, x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T} L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^2} du.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we let T goes to t. For this end, we will use stochastic Fubini's theorem, so need uniform majorations when T satisfies $t < T \leq t+1$. We start to show that

$$\mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t \left[\frac{(Z_u^t)^i - (Z_u^{t+1})^i}{(Z_u^t Z_u^{t+1})^i}\right]^{2+\varepsilon} du\right) < \infty,$$

where $Z_u^t = \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} L_u | \mathcal{F}_u^Q)$ and $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. But, since $t \longmapsto Z_u^t$ is non increasing so $|(Z_u^t)^i - (Z_u^{t+1})^i| = (Z_u^t)^i - (Z_u^{t+1})^i \leq (Z_u^t)^i$ and this leads us to write using twice Jensen inequality with ($\phi(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ and $\phi(x) = x^{i(2+\varepsilon)}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t \left[\frac{(Z_u^t)^i - (Z_u^{t+1})^i}{(Z_u^t Z_u^{t+1})^i}\right]^{2+\varepsilon} du\right) &\leq \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t \frac{du}{(Z_u^{t+1})^{i(2+\varepsilon)}}\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t \left[\mathbb{E}^0\left(\frac{1}{L_u \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t+1}} | \mathcal{F}_u^Q\right)\right]^{i(2+\varepsilon)} du\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0\left[\left(\frac{1}{L_u \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t+1}}\right)^{i(2+\varepsilon)} | \mathcal{F}_u^Q\right] du\right),
\end{aligned}$$

the last majoration being equal to $\int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0\left[\left(\frac{1}{L_u \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t+1}}\right)^{i(2+\varepsilon)}\right] du < +\infty$.

We will use the fact that $G(T-u, x-X_u)$ goes to $G(t-u, x-X_u)$ when T goes to t. We could use the stochastic Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem since

$$\int_0^t \left[\frac{(Z_u^t)^i - (Z_u^T)^i}{(Z_u^t Z_u^T)^i}\right]^{2+\varepsilon} du \leq \int_0^t \left[\frac{(Z_u^t)^i - (Z_u^{t+1})^i}{(Z_u^t Z_u^{t+1})^i}\right]^{2+\varepsilon} du.$$

This added to ordinary dominated Lebesgue theorem leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{T \to t} \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_t \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b} | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > T} L_t | \mathcal{F}_t^Q)} &= \frac{\mathbb{P}^0(a < \tau_x < b)}{\mathbb{P}^0(\tau_x > t)} \\
&+ \int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > u} L_u h(X_u)[G(a-u, x-X_u) - G(b-u, x-X_u)] | \mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} L_u | \mathcal{F}_u^Q)} dQ_u \\
&- \int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_u \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b} | \mathcal{F}_u^Q) \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > u} L_u h(X_u) G(t-u, x-X_u) | \mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} L_u | \mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^2} dQ_u \\
&+ \int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_u \mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b} | \mathcal{F}_u^Q) [\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > u} L_u h(X_u) G(t-u, x-X_u) | \mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^2}{[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} L_u | \mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^3} du \\
&- \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > u} L_u h(X_u)[G(a-u, x-X_u) - G(b-u, x-X_u)] | \mathcal{F}_u^Q) \\
&\times \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > u} L_u h(X_u) G(t-u, x-X_u) | \mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x > t} L_u | \mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^2} du.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. of Theorem 1

Let us now find a mixed filtering-integro-differential equation satisfied by the conditional probability density process defined from the representation

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{G}_t) = \int_a^b \bar{f}(r,t,x)dr \text{ for some } a > t. \tag{13}$$

Applying Lemma 2, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}\frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_b\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}.$$

By previous corollary 2, we show that

$$\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{P}^0(\tau_x > t) + \int_0^t\int_t^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u h(X_u)f(r-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)drdQ_u.$$

But, since the condition $\int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0(f^2(t-u,x-X_u)))du < \infty$ is not necessarily satisfied, we are not able to prove that $\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)$ is a semimartingale (e.g. see Protter's Theorem 65 [15]). This leads us to consider for $t \leq T < t+1$, the expression $\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T}L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)$. In proposition 3 we dealed with the term $\frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_b\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T}L_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)}$ and taked the limit when T goes to t which leads us to equation (12).
Now, we recall the $(\mathbb{P}^0,\mathcal{F})-$ Markov property of X at point u and the fact that $\mathcal{F}^Q \subset \mathcal{F}$ justify

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^0\left(L_u\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}[\mathcal{F}_u^Q\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left(L_u\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}\mathbb{E}^{0,u}(\mathbf{1}_{a-u<\tau_{x-X_u}<b-u})|\mathcal{F}_u^Q\right)\\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(L_u\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}\int_a^b f(r-u,x-X_u)dr|\mathcal{F}_u^Q\right)\\
&= \int_a^b \mathbb{E}\left(L_u\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}f(r-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q\right)dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the equation (12) in the proposition 3 can be rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{G}_t) = &\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}^0(\tau_x>t)}\int_a^b f(r,x)dr\\
&+\int_a^b\int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u h(X_u)f(r-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}dQ_u dr\\
&-\int_a^b\int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_u\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}f(r-u,x-Xu)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u h(X_u)G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^2}dQ_u dr\\
&+\int_a^b\int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}^0(L_u\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}f(r-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u h(X_u)G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^2}{[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^3}dudr\\
&-\int_a^b\int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u h(X_u)f(r-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)\frac{\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u h(X_u)G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)}{[\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)]^2}dudr.
\end{aligned}$$

To express this result with $\mathbb{P}$ conditional expectation instead of $\mathbb{P}^0$ conditional expectation,each fraction under the integral is multiplied and divided by the same term $\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}L_u|\mathcal{F}_u^Q)$.To manage the indicator function, we use the filtration $\mathcal{G}_t$ because τ_x is a $\mathcal{G}_t-$ stopping time. Therefore, on the set $\{\tau_x > t\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{a<\tau_x<b}|\mathcal{G}_t) =&\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(\tau_x > t)}\int_a^b f(r,x)dr + \int_a^b\int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}h(X_u)f(r-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)}{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)}dQ_udr\\
&-\int_a^b\int_0^t \bar{f}(r,u,x)\frac{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}h(X_u)G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)}{[\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)]^2}dQ_udr\\
&+\int_a^b\int_0^t \bar{f}(r,u,x)\frac{[\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}h(X_u)G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)]^2}{[\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)]^3}dudr\\
&-\int_a^b\int_0^t \frac{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}h(X_u)f(r-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}h(X_u)G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)}{[\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)]^2}dudr.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remarks 3.

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \frac{du}{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)^2}\right) < \infty.$$

Indeed, let $Z_u = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)$. *We have* $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}|\mathcal{G}_u) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}|\mathcal{F}_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)\right)$. *applying* $(\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$ *Markov property at point* u *to* $\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}$, *it follows that* $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}|\mathcal{G}_u) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)$. *Since* $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>t}|\mathcal{G}_u)$ *is a martingale then* $(Z_u^2)_{u\geq 0}$ *is submartingale with increasing expectation. This yields*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \frac{du}{\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>u}G(t-u,x-X_u)|\mathcal{G}_u)^2}\right) \leq t\mathbb{P}(\tau_x > t).$$

□

5 Appendix

Lemma 5. *Let* G *be a Gaussian random variable* $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ *and let* $m,\mu \in \mathbb{R}, t,\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$. *Then*

$$A(\mu,\sigma,m,t) := \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{[\mu-\sigma G+mt]_+}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}}e^{-\frac{(\mu-\sigma G)^2}{2t}}\right)$$

satisfies

$$A(\mu,\sigma,m,t) \leq \frac{C_1}{(\sigma^2+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}} + \frac{\sigma C_3}{(\sigma^2+t)\sqrt{t}} \tag{14}$$

whith C_1, C_2, *and* C_3 *positive constantes.*

Proof. We use the law of G and it follows that

$$A(\mu,\sigma,m,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{[\mu-\sigma y+mt]_+}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}}e^{-\frac{(\mu-\sigma y)^2}{2t}}e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}dy.$$

Since $\frac{(\mu-\sigma y)^2}{t}+y^2=\frac{\sigma^2+t}{t}\left(y-\frac{\mu\sigma}{\sigma^2+t}\right)^2+\frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2+t}$, then

$$A(\mu,\sigma,m,t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{[\mu-\sigma y+mt]_+}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}}\exp\left[-\frac{\sigma^2+t}{2t}\left(y-\frac{\mu\sigma}{\sigma^2+t}\right)^2-\frac{\mu^2}{2(\sigma^2+t)}\right]dy.$$

By a change of variable $z=y-\frac{\mu\sigma}{\sigma^2+t}$, we have

$$A(\mu,\sigma,m,t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{[\mu-\sigma(z+\frac{\mu\sigma}{\sigma^2+t})+mt]_+}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}}\exp\left[-\frac{\sigma^2+t}{2t}z^2-\frac{\mu^2}{2(\sigma^2+t)}\right]dz.$$

A new change of variable $y=z\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2+t}{t}}$ leads us to

$$A(\mu,\sigma,m,t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{(\sigma^2+t)\sqrt{2\pi t}}\left(\sqrt{t}\left[\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}}+m\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}\right]-\sigma y\right)_+\exp\left[-\frac{y^2}{2}-\frac{\mu^2}{2(\sigma^2+t)}\right]dy$$

After some calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}A(\mu,\sigma,m,t)=&\frac{\exp[-\frac{\mu^2}{2(\sigma^2+t)}]}{(\sigma^2+t)\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}}+m\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}\right)\Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sigma}\left[\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}}+m\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}\right]\right)\\&+\frac{\sigma\exp[-\frac{\mu^2}{2(\sigma^2+t)}]}{4\pi(\sigma^2+t)\sqrt{t}}\exp\left[-\frac{t}{2\sigma^2}\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}}+m\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}\right)\right]\end{aligned}$$

where Φ is the Gaussian distribution function which is bounded by 1. Now, we get

$$A(\mu,\sigma,m,t)\leq\frac{C_1}{(\sigma^2+t)^{\frac{3}{2}}}+\frac{C_2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2+t}}+\frac{\sigma C_3}{(\sigma^2+t)\sqrt{t}}\tag{15}$$

whith C_1, C_2, and C_3 positive constantes. □

Lemma 6. *If $(T_i, i\in\mathbb{N}^*)$ is the sequence of jump time of the process N, then*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T_{N_t}}{t-T_{N_t}}}\right)<2\lambda t.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T_{N_t}}{t-T_{N_t}}}\right)&=\sum_{n\geq1}\mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T_n}{t-T_n}}\mathbf{1}_{T_n<t<T_{n+1}}\right)\\&=\sum_{n\geq1}\int_0^t\sqrt{\frac{u}{t-u}}\frac{(\lambda u)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\lambda e^{-\lambda u}\int_{t-u}^{+\infty}\lambda e^{-\lambda v}dvdu\\&=e^{-\lambda t}\sum_{n\geq1}\frac{\lambda^n}{(n-1)!}\int_0^t\frac{u^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{(t-u)^{\frac{1}{2}}}du\\&\leq e^{-\lambda t}\sum_{n\geq1}\frac{\lambda^n t^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{(n-1)!}\int_0^t\frac{du}{(t-u)^{\frac{1}{2}}}du\\&\leq 2\lambda te^{-\lambda t}\sum_{n\geq0}\frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}=2\lambda t.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 7. *Let $Y \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F}_T^X)$ and $T \geq t$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}^0(YL_TS_t) = \mathbb{E}^0(Y) + \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t \mathbb{E}^0(Y/\mathcal{F}_u)S_u\rho_u L_u h(X_u)du\right)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}^0(YL_T|\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{Q}}{}_t) = \mathbb{E}^0(YL_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)\ ;\ \mathbb{E}^0(YL_T|\mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{E}^0(YL_t|\mathcal{F}_t).$$

For instance

$$\mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T}L_T|\mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{E}^0(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_x>T}L_t|\mathcal{F}_t).$$

Proof. Let $t \leq T$ and $S_t = \int_0^t S_u\rho_u dQ_u \in \mathcal{S}_t$ and define the process K

$$K_\cdot = 1 + \int_0^\cdot \mathbf{1}_{u\leq t}S_u\rho_u dQ_u.$$

The integration by parts formula of Itô applying to the product $L_\cdot K_\cdot$ between 0 and T permits us to obtain

$$L_TK_T = 1 + \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{u\leq t}L_uS_u\rho_u dQ_u + \int_0^T K_uL_uh(X_u)dQ_u + \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{u\leq t}S_uL_u\rho_u h(X_u)du$$

and remark that $L_TK_T = L_TS_t$.

Since X and Q are independent under $\mathbb{P}^0$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^0(YL_TS_t) &= \mathbb{E}^0(Y) + \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^{t\wedge T} \mathbb{E}^0(Y/\mathcal{F}_u)S_u\rho_u L_u h(X_u)du\right)\\ &= \mathbb{E}^0(Y) + \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t YS_u\rho_u L_u h(X_u)du\right). \qquad (16)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using first $\mathbb{E}^0[YL_tS_t] = \mathbb{E}^0[\mathbb{E}^0(Y/\mathcal{F}_t)L_tS_t]$, Itô's formula on product of processes $\mathbb{E}^0(Y/\mathcal{F}_\cdot)L_\cdot S_\cdot$ and the independence beetwen X and Q under $\mathbb{P}^0$ yields

$$\mathbb{E}^0(YL_tS_t) = \mathbb{E}^0(Y) + \mathbb{E}^0\left(\int_0^t YS_u\rho_u L_u h(X_u)du\right) \qquad (17)$$

(16) and (17) imply that

$$\mathbb{E}^0(YL_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{E}^0(YL_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Q).$$

Now let $f_t(X) \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{P}^0, \mathcal{F}_t^X)$ and apply the above equality to $Yf_t(X)$:

$$\mathbb{E}^0(Yf_t(X)L_T|\mathcal{F}_t^Q) = \mathbb{E}^0(Yf_t(X)L_t|\mathcal{F}_t^Q)$$

so

$$\mathbb{E}^0(Yf_t(X)L_TS_t) = \mathbb{E}^0(Yf_t(X)L_tS_t)$$

which concludes the proof. □

References

[1] D. APPLEBAUM *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, second edition, Cambridge university press, 2009.

[2] A. BAIN and D. CRISAN *Fondamentals of Stochastic Filtering*, Stochastic Modeling and Applied Probability, Vol. 60, Springer-Verlag, New York 2009.

[3] V. BERNYK, R. C. DALANG, G. PESKIR *The law of the supremum of a stable Lévy Process with no negative jumps* ANN. Probab. Vol 36, Number 5, 2008, pp. 1777-1789.

[4] R. CONT and P. TANKOV *Financial Modeling with Jump Processes* Chapman & Hall/ CRC Financial mathematics series, 2004.

[5] L. COUTIN *Filtrage d'un système càd-làg: Application du calcul des variations stochastiques à l'existence d'une densité*, Stochastics and Stochastics Reports, 1996, Vol. 58, pp. 209-243.

[6] L. COUTIN and D. DOROBANTU *First passage time law for some Lévy process with compound Poisson:existence of a density*,2011, Bernoulli, Vol. 17, number 4, pp. 1127-1135.

[7] D. DOROBANTU *Modélisation de risque de défaut en entreprise*, thèse de l'Université de Toulouse 3, 2007.

[8] D. DUFFIE and D. LANDO *Term structure of credit spreads with incomplete accounting information*, Econometrica, Vol 69, 2001, pp. 633-664.

[9] P. GAPEEV V. and M. JEANBLANC *Princing and filtering in two-dimensional dividend switching model*, International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance (2010) 13(7) pp. 1001-1017.

[10] X. GUO, R. JARROW and Y. ZENG *Credit model with incomplete information, (earlier version Information reduction in credit risk models)* Mathematics of Operations Research, 34(2): 320-332, 2009.

[11] M. JEANBLANC, RUTKOWSKI *Modeling of Default Risk : Mathematicals tools*, Mathematical Finance ; theory and practice. Modern Mathematics Series, High education Press, 2000.

[12] I. KARATZAS, S. E. SHREVE *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[13] S. G. KOU and H. WANG *First passage time of a jump diffusion process* Adv. Appl. Prob. 35, 2003, pp. 504-531.

[14] E. PARDOUX *Filtrage non linéaire et équations aux dériv''ees partielles stochastiques associées*, Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour-1989, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1464, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg- New York 1991.

[15] P. PROTTER *Stochastic Integration and Differantial Equation* Springer, Berlin, Second edition 2003.

[16] P. PROTTER *Voltera equations driven by semimartingale*, Annals of Probability, Vol 13,$N^0$2 (Mai 1985), pp. 518-530.

[17] D. REVUZ M. YOR *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Springer-Verlag, third edition, 1999.

[18] B. ROYNETTE, P. VALLOIS, A. VOLPI, *Asymptotic behavior of the passage time, overshoot and undershoot for some Lévy processes* ESAIM PS VOL. 12, 2008, pp. 58-93.

[19] K. SATO *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distribution*, volume 68 of Cambridge studies in advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, Translated from the 1990 Japanaise original, Revised by the author.

[20] A. VOLPI *Etude asymptotique du temps de ruine et de l'overshoot,* Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nancy 1, 2003.